

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/603,459	06/25/2003	Ulrich Reichstein	REICHSTEIN 3275	
75	90 03/09/2005		EXAMINER	
Henry M. Feiereisen			HEITBRINK, TIMOTHY W	
Suite 4714 350 Fifth Aven	ue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10118			1722	
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	10/603,459	REICHSTEIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tim Heitbrink	1722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision of the	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 S	eptember 2003.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-25-03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	٥, ٥
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	60

Application/Control Number: 10/603,459

"Ochta of Hambor: 10/000, it

Art Unit: 1722

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Latham (US Patent 6,186,760).

Latham discloses a machine for making molded articles having a plurality of electric components connected through a wireless communication to the machine control, wherein the machine control and the electric components have means to transmit and receive radio waves, i.e. electromagnetic waves.

See Latham column 3, line 55 through column 4 line 48.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Krause et al. (DE 19909307) or Meschia (EP 1128244) or DE20204359 Utility (Abstract).

Each of the references disclose a machine for making molded articles having a plurality of electric components connected through a wireless communication to the machine control, wherein the machine control and the electric components have means to transmit and receive radio waves, i.e. electromagnetic waves.

See Fig. 1 of Krause et al., Fig. 4 of Meschia and Fig. 1 of DE20204359 Utility.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/603,459

Art Unit: 1722

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Latham (US Patent 6,186,760), Krause et al. (DE 19909307) or Meschia (EP 1128244) or DE20204359 Utility (Abstract) as applied to claims 1,3,4 above, and further in view of Applicant's admitted prior art.

While the above references do not disclose Bluetooth technology as the wireless communication used, Applicant discloses such technology as being conventional and the standard for wireless technology. See paragraph 9 of the specification.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Bluetooth technology as the wireless communication used since it is conventional and the standard for wireless technology as set forth by Applicant.

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Heitbrink whose telephone number is 571-272-1132. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 5:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ben Utech can be reached on 571-272-1132. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/603,459

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tim Heitbrink
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1722

3-8-05

twh